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Abstract

Hardly a day passes by when we do not hear about a ransomware locking data and demanding the ransom. Ransomware is the most opportunistic type of malware, affecting from a single user to an entire organization. Internet Security Threat Report (2016) by Symantec indicates 35% growth in crypto-style ransomwares during the year 2015. Symantec has categorized ransomware as “An extremely profitable type of attack” (Symantec, 2016). This profitability is attracting more hackers into the business and allowing attackers to bring more human resource in the attack mechanism. To launch a ransom attack, attackers are directly contacting their victims notably via technical support scams. Symantec first reported this type of scam in 2010 and blocked 100 Million Tech-Support Scam in the year 2015 alone (Symantec, 2016).

The scope and sophistication of ransomware is evolving at very high rate and there is a need to develop a cyber security model against ransomware attacks. This document goes into the details of multiple stages of a ransomware attack and describes a multilayer offensive security approach to protect an organization from ransomware attacks.
1. Introduction

Ransomware or cryptolocker is a type of malware that can be covertly installed on a computer without knowledge or intention of the user. It can stay asleep or spread without user interaction until it receives a command from the hacker to encrypt files or completely lock the computer. The system is restored to normal (by the attacker) in lieu of financial benefit to the hackers.

Every cyber-attack is constructed using well-defined modular phases, and each phase should be accomplished sequentially. Rendering a cyber-attack entirely unsuccessful is all about blocking any one or more of these stages. Thus providing us not just one but multiple opportunities to detect and prevent a hacking attempt. In this paper, we understand how ransomware works and dissect its life cycle into multiple stages. Based the analysis of each phase, we build a multilayered security model around the IT infrastructure. The outermost protection layer targets the very first stage of the attack, and a successful attack has to breach all the detection/prevention controls at each layer. We accept the fact that hacking attacks such as ransomware are inevitable and prepare for the worse. We also discuss paying the ransom and explored the possibilities of recovering the data without pay the ransom.

2. Ransomware Attack

Ransomware could prevent the user from using their computer or accessing data. It holds the user’s computer and files for ransom. Ransomware is a piece of software very similar to malware. Malware is a program that installs itself on a computer and runs in the background, hiding its presence and stealing passwords, credit card, and other valuable information. Malware does all this without the user's knowledge. However, ransomware does not try to hide it. As soon as it has done its job, i.e. locked the computer or files, it notifies the user of its presence.
The victim gets a message (about encryption of data) on the screen similar to the one below:

![Cryptolocker Message Box](image)

**Figure 1 - Cryptolocker Message Box**

To add to the user's distress, typically a ticking countdown timer starts with a 72-hour deadline in which to pay the ransom or the decrypt key will be destroyed, thus removing any possibility of recovery.

Any attempt to interfere with the cryptolocker, such as stopping the cryptolocker service or entering a wrong payment info, usually results in the remaining time being halved. On the other hand, not paying the ransom within the due time can lead to the ransom amount being doubled with a new deadline.

Depending upon the particular version and type of the ransomware, there might be another pop-up screen showing the list of encrypted files. Users can verify that the files still exist, but the contents are merely unreadable garbage. Files are encrypted using asymmetric (RSA) encryption, where the key used for encryption cannot be used to decrypt the data. RSA algorithm uses two different keys, one (public) for encryption of data and one (private) for decryption. Once the data is encrypted, it is generally
unrecoverable unless the user decides to pay the ransom (other recovery option discussed in next few sections). Paying the ransom does not guarantee recovery of data.

Among many other, the most common mode of ransom payment is Bitcoins. Bitcoin is a digital currency that has been designed with one aim in mind, namely, to do anonymous online transactions. From the transaction itself, it is impossible to trace the beneficiary. It is unfortunate that this revolutionary e-currency is almost exclusively being used to carry out crimes. In fact, many of its users come to know about it when they are asked to pay a ransom through Bitcoin.

2.1. Ransom - The Dilemma

Once the data is encrypted, there are two options to recover the data. Either pay the ransom or recover the data without paying the ransom.

2.1.1. Paying the Ransom

Holding someone or something captive and demanding a ransom is a risky business. Getting money is hard but getting away with money is even harder. Typically, law enforcement marks the currency and tracks the spender when it comes to market. However, in today’s digital world, demanding a ransom, for digital information being held hostage, has turned out to be the easiest way to extort money. In fact, this is the primary reason for the success of ransomware such as cryptolocker and cryptowall. Ransom payments have encouraged hackers and provided the necessary funding to develop more complex ransomware and launch them on a much wider scale. In 2012, Symantec estimated that 2.9% of victims paid the ransom. In 2014, the University of Kent did a survey and the results showed that a devastating 40% of victims paid the ransom. Learning from cyber criminals kidnappers in the real world have also started to demand ransoms in Bitcoins.

A tough question for the victim is whether they should pay the money or not. Law enforcement agencies, as well as Symantec Corporation, advise against paying a ransom stating “criminals may not provide the key even after receiving the ransom.” However, they do not provide an alternative solution. Of course, cybercriminals are also trying to win this battle of “trust and distrust”. Recently, to lure the victim into paying the ransom, they have started a “try and verify” approach by adding a button “Decrypt 1 file
for FREE” as shown the figure below.

![Ransom Message](image)

**Figure 2 - Ransom Message**

The ransom economy will not sustain if victims stop paying the ransom. Law enforcement agencies are trying to stop people from paying a ransom by raising awareness and caution while the criminals and crooks are trying to outwit them. This war is likely to continue and at the moment, the momentum seems to favor the criminals.

In November 2014, Dickson County Sheriff’s Office USA opted to pay a ransom of $572 to recover files. Later the Sheriff said, “I am thankful that is all they asked for.” In a similar case, the Durham, N.H. Police Department (USA) was infected in June 2015. They recovered the files from a backup, choosing not to pay the ransom. However, they paid $3000 to a contractor for a file clean up afterward.

### 2.1.2. Cracking the Private Key

Data Encrypted with the public key can only be recovered using the corresponding private key. Technically, it is impossible to decrypt a properly encrypted file without the private key. The bad guys are using the technology that has been developed over decades of research, and it constitutes the backbone of secure communication over the internet. Finding a private key using brute force (trying all possible combination of key phrase) presents a monumental computation problem, known as the “RSA Problem.” It has been almost 40 years since Rivest, Shamir and
Adleman first described the RSA algorithm for public-key cryptography. Since then, a combination of best minds and machines have failed to break the algorithm. In conclusion, we can say that it is not possible to decrypt the encrypted data without a private key.

3. Anatomy of Ransomware Attack

There are a number of ways an attacker can initiate an attack with the ultimate goal being to plant the malware/ransomware in the victim's machine.

The most common attack vector is a phishing email where the victim is tricked into clicking on a link in what appears to be a legitimate email message. Below diagram details 6 stages of ransomware attack. The last three steps use TOR (anonymous proxy) if it has not blocked by the organization.

Figure 3
3.1.1. Selection of a Victim

Attacker comes into contact with the victim by war driving or target attack technique.

**War Driving**

A ransom attack is launched on a massive scale to the entire target base, typically via a phishing email to a mailing list containing thousands of email addresses or the victim visits the malicious or compromised website and downloads the infected executable or a vulnerability on their computer is exploited. Usually, an insecure organization with untrained users and unpatched client-side software can be a victim of war driving attack.

**Targeted Attack**

In targeted attacks, the attacker hand-picks a single or set of chosen targets. Recently, we encountered one such attack. When attackers realized (based on job advertisement) that McAfee products are being extensively used in the company, they sent a phishing email to get an employee into a chat session using a known Remote Support Access Provider.

---

**Figure 4**

Once the victim is drawn into the chat session, the hacker installs the malware/ransomware on the AV (Anti-Virus) server, thus neutralizing a critical defense. Then using McAfee ePO server as a staging machine, encrypt every accessible resource in the organization.
We conducted around 14 different chat sessions over the duration of 48 hours and based on the vocabulary, sentence structure, and references to previous chat sessions; we concluded that at least 4 individual hackers were conducting the chat sessions. A clear evidence, either there is a group of hacker targeting the organization or they have outsourced the malware deployment to a call center. It appears that some ransom money is being invested back into the business. The sophistication and professionalism of targeted attack mean only highly resilient environment can survive these types of attacks.

3.1.2. Getting the Payload to the Victim’s Computer

There are many ways to drop a generic ransomware, and a phishing email attack is the most popular. For example, in the traffic infringement notice in figure 6, both the INVOICE and VIEW CAMERA IMAGES links redirect you to the attacker’s website.

![Sample Phishing Email](image)

**Figure 6 – Sample Phishing Email**
Clicking on INVOICE or CAMERA IMAGE links leads to download and install of ransomware package.

**3.1.3. Contact with Command and Control**

The first step after installation of ransomware is to contact the command-and-control (C&C) server in order to get further instruction or encryption key. Most antivirus software block the malware by preventing its execution at first instance if it has a known detection signature. AV, IPS (Intrusion Prevent System) and Firewalls maintain a list of malicious Proxies and C&C IP addresses and thus detect the presence of malware when a malicious program attempts to communicate. This method is not a very efficient as it is not possible to build a comprehensive list of all malicious destinations. Additionally, C&C server may have already been taken down by law enforcement, and malware may be left as “Orphaned”. So, instead of using a static C&C server, hackers have started to use a Dynamic Domain Generation Algorithm technique. The latest variant of cryptolocker generates a list of 1200 domains and tries to connect them until a successful connection has been made. This process is repeated if the connected C&C has gone offline. This way a compromised computer can be under the control of numerous C&C Servers. This interconnected system of C&C Servers and compromised computers (bots) is referred to as a botnet. Hiding and protecting the C&C communication is fundamental to the existence of a botnet.

Recently, a US-based security company, Fire-eye, uncovered a Russian Cyber Threat Group, APT29, who used Twitter feeds as the communication protocol. The commands were embedded (not visible to naked eye) in standard images using steganography.

**3.1.4. Download the Public Keys**

An attacker may decide to use the infected system as a ransomware launching pad to spread the infection across the network. Once the attacker is satisfied with the number of infections in a particular organization, the public keys are delivered to all the bots.
3.1.5. Encrypt the Files

The earlier versions of cryptolocker would just encrypt the files on the local computer. However, new variants try to encrypt the backup first. They specifically scan the local computer and remote file shares named in date format (keeping in mind 90% of backup folder/files names include date e.g. sql20150619.bak) and encrypt all the contents of these folders then it encrypts specific file types only. Encryption in-progress can be detected and interrupted by an incident response team, so it is critical for an attacker to prioritize the files to be encrypted, ensuring important files are encrypted first. Commonly, ransomwares start with files/folder with most recent access date.

3.1.6. Extortion of Money

The next step is to notify the victim about the damage and facilitate the trial recovery by providing the new cryptolocker software in case newly installed AV has deleted it. Common AV uninstall/exclusion steps are communicated along with payment instructions.

Once the ransom is paid and verified by the hackers manually (which may take a 2 to 48 hours), the private key may be delivered, and automatic decryption starts as shown in the Figure 7. No further support is provided if decryption fails on one or more files.

![Figure 7 - Cryptolocker Decryption Process](image-url)
3.2. Getting the Data Back without paying ransom

In this section, we explore the different ways of recovering the encrypted data.

3.2.1. Recover the file from backup

The most efficient and effective way to get back the data is to restore data files from a backup. In most corporate environments files are backed up regularly so recovery should not be a problem. Normally a backup is made for shared and mapped drives. User desktop data is rarely saved.

Users should backup the files to a network drive or USB drive and disconnect it after the backup. Almost all ransomwares encrypt the network drives.

3.2.2. Use built-in file versioning services like Windows Volume Shadow Copy

Windows Volume Shadow Copy can be enabled on any drive. It keeps the version history of all the files on the drive and makes it possible to go back on the timeline. However, newer ransomwares try to delete all the shadow copies using a Windows command

```
C:\Windows\Sysnative\vssadmin.exe" Delete Shadows /All /Quiet"
```

It is an interesting fact that the Volume Shadow Copy feature is also used by malware to store a malicious code and overwriting it with some innocent content to evade the anti-virus scanning even with an updated signature. This malicious code is later recovered and executed when needed.

3.2.3. Recover the most critical data using forensic techniques

When a file is opened for editing, almost all applications create a temporary copy of the original file. All the changes are made to the temporary file which overwrites the original file when saved. This is how Microsoft Office recovers files if the application closes abruptly. Once a user exits the application, the temporary file is deleted. On Windows, deleting a file means deleting the pointer to the file (not the contents) in NTFS/FAT/EFS file system. This space is then marked as free and available for overwriting. Using the advanced forensic techniques, it is possible to scavenge the free
space on the disk for useful information. The longer the system is used after an attack, the greater the risk of original files being overwritten.

For example, during the encryption process, Cryptolocker 2.0 creates a new .encrypt file. It reads the contents of the original file and keeps it in memory, then encrypts the contents and writes the encrypted contents to the ‘.Encrypt’ File – after which the original files are deleted.

![Figure 8 - File Activity](image)

It is expected that the newer version of ransomware will use built-in Windows programs to permanently delete data. For example, in windows, a built-in tool designed to encrypt and decrypt data can also be employed to permanently delete the content using the command "cipher /w c:\backup.txt".

A similar command on Unix (depending upon the distribution) is “srm” or “rm -p” which can be used to delete a file to an unrecoverable state.

Securely deleting a file takes more time than creating it. Normally hackers study the target’s usage pattern and perform an encryption/secure deletion process when the system is not in use.

### 3.2.4. Find flaws in the implementation of encryption

Most of the attacks against encryption are successful due to programming or architectural flaws in the encryption routine, such as using a repetitive, predictable seed, or misinformation about the strength of cryptographic routines etc.

A cryptolocker variant, Racketeer, which spread through a fake Energy Australia bill email, used a flawed process of generating an RSA key pair on a victim’s machine,
which was then cracked during analysis and the private key was recovered using brute-force technique.

In another instance, security researcher Fakebit (fakebit.com) discovered a failed attempt at counterfeiting the well-engineered cryptolocker. This variant claimed to use 2048-bit RSA but instead used the Tiny Encryption Algorithm (TEA).

3.2.5. Law Enforcement tracks down criminals and seizes private keys.

Ransomware is a consistent threat, and governments and IT Vendors have to join forces to bring the criminals to justice.

One notable combined effort by the private and government sector is “Operation Tovar” against the botnet Gameover Zeus. Gameover Zeus was a peer to peer botnet network and it was widely used as a launching pad for cryptolocker attacks. In 2014, US Law Enforcement officials announced the success of Operation Tovar and intercepted the transfer of 500,000 private keys. The creator of Gameover Zeus botnet, nicknamed “lucky12345” was identified as a Russian man, Evgeniy Bogachev. In February 2015, the FBI announced a $3million reward for any information about his whereabouts.

Recently, FireEye and Fox-IT have set up a website\(^1\) which offers a free decryption service to cryptolocker victims using a database of recovered private keys. Users can submit an encrypted file. All the private keys are tried against the file and if a match is found; it is emailed back to the user.

\[\text{Figure 9 – Website offered by FireEye and Fox-IT}\]

\(^1\)https://www.decryptcryptolocker.com/
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3.3. **Prevention**

Chinese General, Sun Tzu said, “War is half won if you know your enemy. Additionally, if you know yourself, you may retreat, but you will never be defeated.” It is also true in the fight against ransomware. We are aware of the adversary, but we are not entirely aware of all the possible ways in which our defenses may be breached. The following are ways to overcome the most common weaknesses and enhance our defenses against cryptolocker.

3.3.1. **User training**

The majority of ransom-lockers spread through phishing and scam emails. So it is worth keeping an eye on the current scam and spam trends. One good source is the Australian government initiative scamwatch. Security professionals and system administrators should follow the latest trends and educate users.

In the corporate security, users are the weakest link and appropriate training plays an important factor in preventing security breaches and if a breach occurs, helps in containing the threat. For example, a trained user can only prevent an attack discussed in section 3.1.1.

3.3.2. **Efficient Patch Management**

According to HP’s annual Cyber Risk Report, 44% of attacks during the last year were due to unpatched code that was two to four years old. Two most common causes of delay in patch deployment are instability and downtime. A new patch may destabilize the system and a system with a high availability requirement waits until the industry has tested it. Many times we have seen a patch being released hurriedly to fix a vulnerability. These untested patches fix security vulnerabilities but occasionally cause operational issues. On a few occasions, we installed patches that were released to fix the issues caused by an earlier patch.

3.3.3. **Effective and Mandated IT Security Team**

IT Security is an ever-evolving field and requires continuous training and research. However, we still see IT professionals performance being measured with
traditional measurement tools focusing on utilization and billability. It is critical for a security specialist to keep up with training, conferences, and learn about new vulnerabilities in order to stay up-to-date with the latest threats.

When a security team realizes a potential risk, a difficult step is convincing business management to put in the necessary time and effort to deploy the essential preventive controls. Traditional ways of measuring ROI (Return On Investment) are ineffective due to business not fully realizing the risk until it is too late. In 2014, Sony Entertainment spent tens of millions of dollars after security breaches cost the company about $1.25 billion.

3.3.4. Backup

The most effective defense against ransomware is the backup of data files. A corporate user’s data, stored on a network drive, is backed up on a regular basis. However, it is not standard practice to backup a user's desktop. Information system administrators need to expand the scope of backups with storage and bandwidth upgrades. With the possibility of backups being encrypted by ransomware, we need to keep multiple copies of data (backup of backups), over a longer period.

3.3.5. Restrict Write Permission

Access should be granted on a need to know basis. Restrictive ACLs significantly reduce the ransomware damage. The best way to save data is to write backup to a Drop Folder and only allow full control to a specific high-security user. To create a Drop Folder, grant the Write permission to Everyone and grant the Read/List/Delete permission to the manager who can recover files from the folder. A Drop Folder can be created on Windows server using the following steps:

1. Click **Start**, point to **Programs**, point to **Accessories**, click **Windows Explorer**, and then locate the folder for which you want to set permissions. In this example, use the folder called Drop and the user named Manager1.

2. Right-click the **Drop** folder, click **Properties**, and then click the **Security** tab.

3. Click the **Everyone** group. If the Everyone group is not listed, you can add the group by clicking **Add**.
4. Click **Allow** for the **Write** permission, and then click to clear the check boxes for the remaining permissions.

5. Click **Deny** for **Read & Execute**. This will also explicitly deny permissions for the List Folder Contents and Read permissions.

6. Keep the Everyone group and remove all other listed groups and users. To do this, click a group or user, and then click **Remove**.

7. Click **Add**, and then add the appropriate user. In this example, add Manager1. Click **OK**.

8. In **Permissions**, click the **Manager1** user, click to clear the **Full Control** check box, and then click **Allow** for the **Modify** permission.

### 3.3.6. Restrict the use of Elevated Privileges

When a corporate user is attacked, only data files that are accessible to that user are encrypted. In many cases, administrators use elevated privileges for normal operations such as browsing the internet and checking email. Any wrong click may download ransomware, running with same elevated privileges and may result in the entire organization's data (including backups) being encrypted.
3.4. Multilayer Protection using Software Tools

This section describes a multilayer protection approach to protect against ransomware attacks using software security tools. We have used McAfee tools as a model. However, similar or better software are also offered by other vendors. These protection measures are not foolproof but significantly reduce the risk of a ransomware attack.

Figure 10 – Multilayer Protection

3.4.1. Prevent the initial contact

Two of the most common ways to attack a user is through email or a web browser. In the first instance, a user receives an email with an invitation to either open an attachment or click on a link in the email.

McAfee Email Gateway uses a propriety spam-scoring algorithm, which monitors all incoming email traffic and filters out emails with potentially unsafe attachments, links,
etc. Generally, in a large enterprise, on average 85% of email traffic is spam and catching this 85% is crucial for the safety of the entire company. Careful training and configuring an email gateway appliance can result in 99.9% of spam messages being blocked.

### 3.4.2. Prevent Download

Carefully crafted phishing emails can beat the best spam filters in the world and breach through an email gateway. Once a malicious has arrived in user’s inbox, McAfee Click Protect provides a highly effective protection layer to cautious users by showing unmasked URL, risk rating and a preview of the target page. Again, users can be deceived. This is when the next layer of protection kicks in. The McAfee Web Gateway using Global Threat Intelligence (GTI) and Threat Intelligence Exchange (TIE) can block malicious payloads and safeguard the user from known threats.

Another important defense inline is the McAfee Next-Gen Firewall (NGFW), which can scan each file being downloaded. McAfee Global Threat Intelligence can provide the file reputation information. Many threats do not reveal themselves until they are executed. NGFW intercepts executables and uploads them to ATD (Advance Threat Detection Cloud). The ATD system executes files in a sandboxing environment, analyzing each line of code and sending back a file reputation score. It also protects against an Advance Evasion Technique (AET) where an attacker splits up a malicious payload into smaller pieces, disguising them, and sending them across multiple protocols.

### 3.4.3. Prevent the Execution Malware

Malware download on the system indicates that perimeter defenses, mentioned above, have been breached. McAfee Virus Scan Enterprise (VSE) can stop the execution of malicious code using features such as On Access Scanner, Download Execution Prevention and Anomaly Detection.

After analyzing various ransomware, McAfee suggests protection configurations using McAfee Virus Scan as shown in figure 12.
Collectively, VSE, Host Intrusion Prevention System (HIPS) and Application Control (provides complete protection from unwanted applications) have proven to be one of the most effective defenses against malware and ransomware.

Application whitelisting can provide reliable protection against ransomware. The first step in application whitelisting is to mark and allow the execution of all the trusted applications. This process is called baselining. This white list can be shared across multiple hosts, and anything above the baseline is blocked by default and can be allowed manually on a case by case basis.

It is recommended that a rule be created to prevent a non-trusted process calling a trusted process or dll. The administrator must also create rules to prevent specific executables from hooking on to other executables.
During many security audits, the most common finding is the default protection setting which is report only. In the below figure, block column is unchecked while only logging is enabled for few entries.

![Common Settings](image)

**Figure 12 – Common Settings**

It is advisable to change these settings and enable Block to prevent the execution of malware at the initial stage. Changing these setting from Report to block should be done carefully and gradually, starting with a group of less critical users.

### 3.4.4. Detect and Block C&C communication

McAfee is continuously detecting and protecting its customers from a vast variety of threats. When a new malware appears in the wild, it is picked up, and all of its functions and Command & Control are dissected and analyzed. An attack signature is developed and passed to Virus Scan Engines around the world in the form of daily updates. Additionally, the following information is then passed to various layers of protection using the McAfee Global Threat Intelligence (GTI) feed which includes the following data.

- McAfee GTI file reputation
- McAfee GTI web reputation
- McAfee GTI web categorization
- McAfee GTI message reputation
- McAfee GTI network connection reputation
3.4.5. Detect and Prevent File Encryption

Most malware makes calls to Windows encryption related DLLs. It is possible to detect and block the calls by untrusted applications using McAfee VSE (Virus Scan Enterprise) and HIPS (Host Intrusion Prevention System) technologies.

3.4.6. McAfee File Integrity Monitoring

McAfee file and application monitoring can be used to detect malicious changes to system files and the registry. File Integrity Monitoring can be used to detect a mass file create/modify and file rename activity and raise an alarm. The content monitoring feature keeps tracks of changes to the file content and can help recover critical files.

3.4.7. McAfee DLP

DLP (Data Loss Prevention) agent is essentially a legitimate rootkit with built-in anti-jamming and tamper protection features. It intercepts every call to the file system and certain processes in memory. The purpose is to monitor and log every attempt to touch the organizational data. Effective security policies with proper alert setting can easily detect cryptolocker. For example, a system admin can develop an alert mechanism by creating a new file with a unique random string “sfjsklfjkl” in it. This file is excluded from backups and will not normally be accessed by a user or a process. However, it will be included in ransomware’s bulk encryption activity, firing the critical preconfigure alarm/actions via ePO or SEIM.

In terms of incidents response, DLP logs are an import forensic artifact with two import features.

Non-Repudiation: Traceback the activity in detail to culprit process, application and user that cannot be denied.

Logs are Forensically Sound: Proper time stamping, hashing and an established chain of custody that can be used in court as evidence.

3.4.8. McAfee Asset and Vulnerability Manager.

A chain is as strong as its weakest link and finding this link before it can fail is critical to the integrity of the entire system. The McAfee Asset and Vulnerability Manager is designed to find the most vulnerable spots. It continuously scouts the entire information infrastructure, and as soon as a new system, phone, computer, or printer etc.
appears on the network, it runs a predefined scan on it and raises an alarm if the system is hackable. Every insecure system is a potential entry point into the entire IT infrastructure. McAfee Asset and Vulnerability Manager also assigns a risk score to every asset and communicate it to SIEM for incident prioritization.

3.4.9. Database Security

Almost all critical data is stored in databases and these databases are buried deep in layers of security. A hacker’s ultimate dream and the worst nightmare for an organization is the compromise of this database. How vital is database security? The Ashley Madison data breach in July 2015 proved that database hacks can cause company shutdown, divorces, and suicides.

McAfee Database Security Product sits in front of the database and scans all the traffic and database commands and protects against obfuscate SQL statements. It can protect an unpatched database against a known vulnerability, a feature known as virtual patching.

As an example, the SQL statement below is used by attackers to verify authentication but does not pull the data to avoid detection.

```
SELECT * FROM dbk_system WHERE 1 = 2
```

**Figure 13 – Attacker SQL Statement**

3.4.10. Coordinated Defense Using SIEM

An army without a commander is like sheep without a shepherd. A commander has complete situational awareness. As shown in the following diagram below, McAfee
SIEM (Security Information and Event Management) acts as a commander in IT Security Infrastructure, making decisions based on the log information collected from all IT resources. Information gathering and log collection is the lifeline of cyber security intelligence in an organization. Analyzing the huge stream of logs coming in at 10s of thousands of events per second is a challenge, industry is trying to cope with. SIEM solutions collect information, correlate the data and present it to security analysts for further analysis and action.

Figure 14 – Example Using McAfee
Currently, there is a significant delay between a breach taking place, detection and the first response. The human factor can result in a significant delay in reaction time against a threat.

![Infection, Detection, Response](image)

**Figure 15 – Infection, Detection, Response**

My first lesson as a Cyber Security Practitioner was “Stay calm; you cannot act faster than the GHz processor”. However, the next generation SIEMs can be configured with the automated response for known attacks, which allows us to deliver the first response at GHz. McAfee ESM leverages McAfee Advanced Threat Defence (ATD: advanced sandboxing), Data Exchange Layer (DXL: A virtual communication fabric among devices) and Threat Intelligence Exchange (TIE: Threat information sharing protocol across the network). Depending upon the threat and circumstance, SIEM can pass commands to the strategically positioned network/host-protection elements in the network and isolate any threat as soon as it has surfaced.

### 3.5. Conclusion: Ransomware+ and Beyond

The advancement in ransomwares is going at a very high pace. With no apparent hurdle, it can become a crisis in few years. Ransomware can hit mobile devices and Internet of Things (IoT). The day is not far when ransom will become a major threat to Privacy and Personally Identifiable Information (PII) data. Criminals will not only encrypt the data but they will also exfiltrate a copy of data from our computers, mobile devices and (possibly) cloud storage. This data will be used to blackmail the users and collect ransom in periodic installments.

Intelligent cars, automated homes, and personal wearables record every aspect of our life. Most of the users do not know that their hi-tech life is being recorded with accurate timestamps including all the secrets that we would protect at any cost. We need to understand the value of our personal data, realize the risk associated with it and actively devise ways to manage, track, monitor and secure personal data interactions and transactions.
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<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Event Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANS DFIRCON 2019</td>
<td>Coral Gables, FLUS</td>
<td>Nov 04, 2019 - Nov 09, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGT521 Beta One 2019</td>
<td>Crystal City, VAUS</td>
<td>Nov 12, 2019 - Nov 13, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GridEx V 2019</td>
<td>Online, AUS</td>
<td>Nov 13, 2019 - Nov 14, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Atlantic Fall 2019</td>
<td>Atlanta, GAUS</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2019 - Nov 23, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pen Test HackFest Summit &amp; Training 2019</td>
<td>Bethesda, MDUS</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2019 - Nov 25, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Austin 2019</td>
<td>Austin, TXUS</td>
<td>Nov 18, 2019 - Nov 23, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Bangalore 2019</td>
<td>Bangalore, IN</td>
<td>Nov 25, 2019 - Nov 30, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Nashville 2019</td>
<td>Nashville, TNUS</td>
<td>Dec 02, 2019 - Dec 07, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS San Francisco Winter 2019</td>
<td>San Francisco, CAUS</td>
<td>Dec 02, 2019 - Dec 07, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Frankfurt December 2019</td>
<td>Frankfurt, DE</td>
<td>Dec 09, 2019 - Dec 14, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Austin Winter 2020</td>
<td>Austin, TXUS</td>
<td>Jan 06, 2020 - Jan 11, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Miami 2020</td>
<td>Miami, FLUS</td>
<td>Jan 13, 2020 - Jan 18, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Amsterdam January 2020</td>
<td>Amsterdam, NL</td>
<td>Jan 20, 2020 - Jan 25, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Anaheim 2020</td>
<td>Anaheim, CAUS</td>
<td>Jan 20, 2020 - Jan 25, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Threat Intelligence Summit &amp; Training 2020</td>
<td>Arlington, VAUS</td>
<td>Jan 20, 2020 - Jan 27, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Vienna January 2020</td>
<td>Vienna, AT</td>
<td>Jan 27, 2020 - Feb 01, 2020</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Mumbai 2019</td>
<td>OnlineIN</td>
<td>Nov 04, 2019 - Nov 09, 2019</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS OnDemand</td>
<td>Books &amp; MP3s OnlyUS</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
<td>Self Paced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>