

# EXTREME MAKEOVER: METRICS ADDITION

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.

# BIOGRAPHY



Mary N. Chaney, Esq., CISSP

- **Certified Information Systems Security Professional (CISSP);**
- **More than 20 years of information security, information technology and legal experience;**
- **A former Special Agent for the FBI;**
- **Served as the Information Systems Security Officer, in addition, to investigating cybercrime;**
- **Graduate of Xavier University in Cincinnati, Ohio with a B.S.B.A in Information Systems;**
- **A licensed attorney in the State of Texas.**

# THE PAST

5/1/2015

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.

# HISTORICALLY

- Metrics = Quantitative measure
- Theoretically target audience get their specific metrics
- Dashboards, charts, presentations, etc. all showing a lot of “stuff”

# WHAT HAS BEEN THE RESPONSE?

W

W = Who

G

G = Gives

A

A = A

S

S = Shhhh!!

# THE PRESENT

5/1/2015

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.

# WHY HAVE THINGS CHANGED?

- Security in obscurity
- Lost in Translation
- Metrics need to “Make Business Sense”
- Don’t let your message get lost in the numbers!

5/1/2015

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.

# VARIOUS VIEWS:

Executives – How are we doing?

Stakeholders – Guidance?

Risk Management – What's our risk posture?

Compliance – Are we complaint?

SOC – WTH?

5/1/2015

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.



# WHAT IS YOUR MESSAGE?

Metrics have different purposes depending on maturity.

1. Actionable
2. Informative
3. Health Metrics

# THE FUTURE?

CASE STUDY:

Copyright © 2015  
MBS Information Security  
Consulting, LLC  
All rights reserved.

# NEW DAY, NEW WAY

## 3 high risk areas:

1. Application
2. Database
3. 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Supplier

# SCORECARDS

## Application

1. Vulnerabilities
2. Coding (OWASP)
3. Access
4. Logging/Monitoring/Alerting
5. Stakeholder Engagement

## Database

1. Vulnerabilities
2. Access
3. Logging/Monitoring/Alerting
4. Stakeholder Engagement

## 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Supplier

1. Vulnerabilities
2. Access
3. Logging/Monitoring/Alerting
4. Stakeholder Engagement

# BASE DEFINITIONS

What makes up the score for each component:

1. What is a vulnerability? (Patches, AV, etc.)
2. Who has access? (End user, HPA user, customer, etc.)
3. Logging/Monitoring/Alerting (standard logs, active monitoring, SLA defined alerts)
4. Engagement (Set threshold/SLA for response times based on criticality)

# DO THE MATH:

SCORE = 100%

(Each component makes up a percentage of the score)

## Database

1. Vulnerabilities = 25%
2. Access = 25%
3. Logging/Monitoring/Alerting = 25%
4. Stakeholder Engagement = 25%

# QUESTIONS?

