

Decade of Aggression

10 Years of Incident Response

Tips / Tricks

whoami

- IT professional with 18 years experience
 - Systems administration, Networking, Consulting, and Information Security
 - 10 years Incident Response (DoD & ISPs)
- Intrusion Detection & Analysis
- Digital Forensics
- Network Forensics
- Outdoor Enthusiast

In the Beginning...

- Worms
 - Sadmind
 - Welchia
 - Blaster
- Script Kiddies
 - Bandwidth
 - Disk space
 - Trading Warez

The more things change the more they stay the same...

- Who: Script kiddies
- What: Trading Warez
- When: ~30 days earlier
- How: WebChat vulnerability
 - PoC existed in the wild
 - Hiding in plain sight:
 - Renamed process of WSFTP server
 - Common looking service name

Reference System Configuration

- MacBook Pro OSX 10.6.8
 - 2.8Ghz Intel Core i7 (Dual Core w/Hyper-Threading)
 - 8 gig RAM
 - (2) Internal drives
 - Plextor PX-128M3 128gig SSD
 - Hitachi 500 gig 7200 rpm (system)
- (2) WD Caviar Black 2TB 7200 RPM
- (3) WD VelociRaptor 80GB 10,000 RPM
- Sonnet Tempo SATA Pro Express/34

Hard Drives (Physical)

- Mirror drives (where still applicable)
 - 100% reduction in imaging time
 - 0% downtime
- End users drive size
 - Bigger = Better
 - Maximizes recoverable artifacts over time
 - Increase Restore Point & Volume Shadow Copy size
 - Enforce remote storage vs. allowing local storage
 - Increases chances of having evidence on backups

Hard Drives (Virtual Storage)

- SANs / Network Storage
 - Maximize Snapshot retention
 - Multiple revisions of the file
 - Easily recover deleted artifacts / evidence
 - Ensure enough capacity to snapshot or clone your largest VM's OS drive

Disk Imaging

- Use hardware mirroring, you can get (2) working copies per port
- Use dc3dd
 - Multiple drive output
 - Built-in hashing functions
 - Minimal overhead to run multiple hashes per image
- (2) drives per enclosure per port = (4) drives per laptop
- Speed of the output is limited by the speed of slowest drive

Disk Imaging Stats...

- FW800 -> RAID 1
 - 37 M/s with MD5 hash
- FW800 -> (2) eSATA drives via dc3dd
 - 55 M/s no hash
 - 52 M/s before hash, 35M/s after MD5
 - 50 M/s before hash, 34 M/s after SHA-1 & MD5

Know what you know...

- Getting the most bang for your buck
 - Capacity planning
 - Budgeting
 - Resource allocation

Performance Testing Tools Linux

- Linux
 - vmstat
 - Memory allocation stats
 - iostat
 - Drive throughput
 - CPU usage
 - top (general system / process stats)
 - Graphical monitoring

Performance Testing Tools OS X

- OS X
 - vm_stat (similar to vmstat on Linux)
 - Memory allocation stats
 - iostat
 - Drive throughput
 - CPU usage
 - top (general system / process stats)
 - Activity Monitor
 - Throughput
 - Network
 - Disk
 - CPU usage (process breakdown)

Testing Made Simple...

- Write throughput tests:
 - `dc3dd wipe=/dev/sdX`
- Read throughput tests:
 - `dc3dd if=/dev/sdX of=/dev/null`
(tests speed from device)
 - MD5 & SHA1sum

That sounds good in theory...

- FW800 = 100 MB/s
- FW400 = 50 MB/s
- USB 2.0 = 60 MB/s
- USB 3.0 = 625 MB/s
- SATA 2.0 = 300 MB/s
- SATA 3.0 = 600 MB/s

Welcome to the real world...

- Linux desktop write blocker testing :
 - dc3dd 7.1.614 of=/dev/null, no hash
 - Vendor A
 - eSATA = 85 MB/s
 - eSATA = 99 MB/s (w/Advanced Host Controller Interface enabled)
 - FW800 = 58 MB/s
 - FW400 = 27 MB/s
 - USB 2.0 = 30 MB/s
 - Vendor B
 - eSATA = 62 MB/s
 - FW800 = 52 MB/s
 - FW400 = 30 MB/s
 - USB 2.0 = 25 MB/s

Not all things are created equal...

- Factors to consider:
 - Manufacturer / Model
 - Driver
 - Chipset
- Example: MBP Read testing
 - Card A:
 - Single Drive testing, 125 MB/s
 - Two Drive testing, 75 MB/s
 - Card B:
 - Single Drive testing, 135 MB/s
 - Two Drive testing, 99 MB/s

Work smarter, not harder...

- Not all Tools are created equal
 - File Carving
 - Scalpel
 - Foremost
 - String Searching
 - Scalpel
 - srch_strings and grep

Foremost File Carving

- 93 GB evidence image
- Results written to internal drive
- Simple scenario, search for:
 - PST, OST
 - DBX, IDX, MBX
- Results:
 - SSD:
 - 366 Mail files recovered, 6.5 GB in 11:57 min.
 - WD Caviar Black
 - 366 Mail files recovered, 6.5 GB in 17:59 min.

Scalpel File Carving

- 93 GB evidence image
- Results written to internal drive
- Simple scenario, search for:
 - PST, OST
 - DBX, IDX, MBX
- Results:
 - SSD:
 - 366 Mail files recovered, 34 GB in 13:23 min.
 - WD Caviar Black
 - 366 Mail files recovered, 34 GB in 20:55 min.

srch_strings

- 93 GB evidence image on SSD & WD Caviar Black
- Results written to internal drive
- Worst case scenario, strings entire image
- ASCII & Unicode
- Stats (nearly identical, only SSD listed):
 - Generate Strings:
 - ASCII: 21 GB, 39:55 min., ~40 MB/sec Read
 - Unicode: 424 MB, 20:32 min., ~77 MB/s Read
 - Search Strings 1 keyword: 13:23 min., 25 MB/sec Read
 - Total Time: 74:07 min.

Scalpel String Search

- 93 GB evidence image
- Results written to internal drive
- Worst case scenario, search entire image
- ASCII & Unicode
 - SSD:
 - Search String 1 Keyword: 5:45 min., 266 MB/s Read
 - WD Caviar Black
 - Search String 1 Keyword: 12:11 min., 132 MB/s Read

Andrew Case describes this method:

<http://www.digitalforensicssolutions.com/papers/recovering-and-analyzing-deleted-registry-hives.pdf>

<http://digitalforensicssolutions.com/papers/gfirst-2011-golden-andrew.pdf>

MacGyver Scaling

- Get creative / use your resources available
 - Boot SIFT on user workstations for extra processing nodes
 - Outfit these workstations for processing (install more RAM, FireWire cards, eSATA cards, etc...)
- Where applicable, make multiple working copies of the image.

MacGyver's Processor Guidance

- One core per operation (depending on software's multiprocessor capabilities)
- One core per HD
- Leave one core for the OS
 - Example:
 - Dual core MBP with HT can MD5 three drives simultaneously with CPU cycles left over

MacGyver's I/O Guidance

- One operation type (read OR write) per HD
- Know your HD's throughput numbers
 - Max. read, max. write
 - Average read, average write
- One read OR write function per physical card or device type (FireWire, eSATA, USB, etc...)
 - Example: Reference System could Read (FW800) and Write -> (2) eSATA drives on one physical card simultaneously, (4) SATA drives using mirroring enclosures

MacGyver Scaling Examples

- Reference System Optimal Usage:
 - Option #1
 - FW800 evidence results drive (Writing Only)
 - Evidence disks (2)
 - Disk #1 log2timeline processing (Read Only output to FW800)
 - Disk #2 anyone of the following: file carving, registry analysis, AV Scanning (Read Only output to FW800)
 - Option #2:
 - Use internal drive for results storage (Writing Only)
 - Add a third disk for evidence processing off of the FW800 port
 - Option #3:
 - Write results over the network
 - Add a fourth drive (internal) for evidence processing

Can I have that to go...

- Laptop requirements:
 - (2) Internal hard drives
 - ExpressCard slot for (2-3) additional ports
 - eSATA
 - FW800
 - USB 3.0
 - 8 gig of RAM
 - One external high speed connection built in
 - eSATA
 - FW800
 - USB 3.0

Don't get cut by the bleeding edge...

- Standards are great, except when they impede security (consider the tradeoffs carefully)
 - If there isn't a tool that can analyze the format, don't adopt it.
 - Play to your strengths
 - Browser versions
 - Smartphones
 - Hardware
 - Software
- File Systems
 - Types (exFAT, ReiserFS, etc...)

Paint them into a corner...

- System:
 - Restrict Bios Access (No external boot options)
 - Restrict off network access
 - Disable Split tunneling
 - Force all devices through enterprise protections
- Restrictive egress filtering
- Email
 - Auto forwarding rules
 - Strict policy on personal email for business
- Restrict BYOD (Personal / Professional Use)
 - Tablets / eReaders
 - Smartphones
 - External media (USB, Hard Drives, etc...)
 - Restrict by manufacturer

Consider this...

- Hard Drives are so cheap they're almost disposable:
 - Never reuse HDs
 - Shelf originals (especially during sudden separation / suspicious separation)
 - Wipe drives prior to reuse
 - Image and compress originals of important staff

Colocation, Collaboration, & Cohabitation != Cooperation

- Colocation / Shared Hosting Contract Notes:
 - Never let a third party have access to your system
 - Request for their system logs (Firewalls, Netflow, etc.) should not require a subpoena
 - Review their IR policies and procedures
 - If my site gets compromised, what are your processes and SLA?

You make me sick...

- Custom AV signatures:
 - You don't want to tip your hand. (~~VirusTotal~~)
 - Can't / Won't submit targeted malware to your vendor
 - Need a detection mechanism for targeted malware
 - Scan for a handful of signatures instead of thousands
 - Search for traits to flag suspicious files for a deeper dive
- Cross platform supported tools:
 - ClamAV (Linux, BSD, Windows, OSX...)
 - YARA (anywhere Python, and Windows exe)

Crouching Vendor...

Hidden Vulnerability...

- Evil lurks within:
 - Printers and Vendor “Appliances”
 - Embedded OS
 - Linux
 - Microsoft
 - BSD
 - Poor patching support
 - Vendor maintained approved patches
 - Never hardened or hidden
 - Unknown threat surface
 - Treated as though they are model citizens

BCP... IR... and U...

- There may come a time when you realize... it really is that bad! And one of the few options you have left is to go DARK (aka Plan B)
- IR as part of your Business Continuity Plan (BCP)
 - Firewall / Router ACLs
 - Essential services and web apps. identified

Get out your tin foil hats...

Here comes Plan B...

- Stop using corp assets for communications
 - No VoIP
 - No corp email, encrypted or not
- In case of Emergency, Break Glass:
 - Backup consumer connection (Cable, DSL, etc.)
 - Secondary laptop
 - Setup a “Meta” network for response (site-to-site VPN)
 - Encrypt all communications traversing public networks

Well, I'll never do that again...

- STOP
 - Do not disconnect a system from the network
 - Implement switch / firewall ACLs to block system
 - Do not AV scan a system until it is imaged
 - Never ship the original
 - Whenever possible, retain the original onsite

Things you always need but...

- Full Packet Capture (FPC)
 - When all else fails “Proof is in the packet!”
- DNS Logging
 - How will you know who asked for what?
 - Worst case turn logging on your MS servers
- Proxy Servers
 - Not for performance reasons!
 - URL’s requested, by whom
 - User Agent string
 - Blacklisting or better yet Whitelisting only

Free and Open Source Software (FOSS)

- Thank a FOSS developer or community contributor! There are plenty here!

Take your pick:

- Tools
- Scripts
- Authors (Blogs, Whitepapers, Books, etc...)
- Researchers

Forensified Investigation

Questions ???

Christopher Witter

Twitter: [mr_cwitter](#)

Email: sparsefile:>gmail.com

